

KRASNER'S LEMMA

1. Hypotheses and notations. Let K be a complete nonarchimedean field. Let K^{sep} be the separable closure of K . Let K^{alg} be the algebraic closure of K . If $\alpha \in K^{\text{sep}}$, let

$$r(\alpha) = \min\{|\alpha - \sigma\alpha| : \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K), \sigma\alpha \neq \alpha\}.$$

Note that $r(\alpha) > 0$ unless $\alpha \in K$ already.

Lemma 2 (Krasner' Lemma). *For $\alpha \in K^{\text{sep}}$, let $\beta \in K^{\text{alg}}$ be an element such that*

$$|\alpha - \beta| < r(\alpha)$$

then $\alpha \in K(\beta)$.

Proof. If the degree of α is one then there is nothing to prove. Assume now α is not in K . Let h be the minimal polynomial of α with respect to $K(\beta)$. As α is separable over K , α is separable over $K(\beta)$. Let L be the smallest Galois extension of $K(\beta)$ containing α . Then all conjugates of α over $K(\beta)$ lies in L , and $\text{Gal}(L/K(\beta))$ preserves the absolute value $|\cdot|$ by the uniqueness of extensions of $|\cdot|$ to L . For each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K(\beta))$, we have by the hypothesis

$$|\sigma\alpha - \alpha| \geq r(\alpha) > |\alpha - \beta|.$$

Recall that in a nonarchimedean field, if $|a| > |b|$, then $|a + b| = |a|$. Hence we have

$$|\sigma\alpha - \beta| = |\sigma\alpha - \alpha| > |\alpha - \beta| = |\sigma(\alpha - \beta)| = |\sigma\alpha - \beta|.$$

This is a contradiction. \square

Next we shall use Krasner's Lemma to deduce some consequences about roots of *monic* polynomials. The upshot is that if f and g are two monic polynomials that are sufficiently close to each other, then we can make a root of g sufficiently close to a root of f , smaller than difference of any roots of f . This enables us then to apply Krasner's lemma. Let us first prove some preliminary results that justifies the assertion that *roots of polynomials vary continuously with respect to the coefficients*. In the sequel, for a polynomial h with coefficients in K let $|h|_1$ is the 1-Gauß norm of a polynomial.

Lemma 3. *Let $f \in K[T]$ be a monic polynomial. Let $\alpha \in K^{\text{alg}}$ be a root of f . Then we have $|\alpha| \leq |f|_1$.*

Proof. Write $f(T) = T^n + \sum_{v=1}^n a_v T^{n-v}$. Let $\alpha \in K^{\text{alg}}$ be such that $|\alpha| > |f|_1 \geq 1$. Then $|\alpha^n| > |f|_1 |\alpha^{n-1}| \geq |a_v| |\alpha|^{n-v}$. Thus $|f(\alpha)| = |\alpha|^n \neq 0$. This shows that all roots of f are contained in the closed disk centered at 0 with radius $|f|_1$. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $f, g \in K[T]$ be monic polynomials of degree n . Let $\alpha \in K^{\text{alg}}$ be a root of f . Then*

$$|g(\alpha)| \leq |f - g|_1 |f|_1^{n-1}.$$

Proof. Write $f(T) = T^n + \sum_{v=1}^n a_v T^{n-v}$, and $g(T) = T^n + \sum_{v=1}^n b_v T^{n-v}$. Then

$$g(\alpha) = g(\alpha) - f(\alpha) = \sum_{v=1}^n (b_v - a_v) \alpha^{n-v}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\alpha)| &\leq \max_{1 \leq v \leq n} |f_v - g_v| |\alpha|^{n-v} \\ &\leq |f - g|_1 \max_{1 \leq v \leq n} |\alpha|^{n-v} \\ &\leq |f - g|_1 \cdot |f|_1^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

thanks to Lemma 3 and the fact that $|f|_1 \geq 1$ \square

Lemma 5 (Continuity of roots). *Let $f, g \in K[T]$ be monic polynomials of the same degree n . Let α be a root of f . Then there exists a root β of g such that*

$$|\alpha - \beta| \leq \sqrt[n]{|f - g|_1} \cdot |f|_1.$$

Proof. Let $g(T) = \prod_{i=1}^n (T - \beta_i)$ be the factorization, in K^{alg} , of g into linear factors. Assume that $|\beta_j - \alpha| > \sqrt[n]{|f - g|_1} \cdot |f|_1$ for all j . Then we have

$$|g(\alpha)| > |f - g|_1 |f|_1^n.$$

This contradicts to Lemma 4 since $|f|_1 \geq 1$. \square

Recall that Hensel's lemma says that if $f \in K^\circ[T]$ has a solution α modulo the maximal ideal K° , and if moreover $f'(\alpha) \not\equiv 0$ modulo K° , then f has a zero β in K° such that $\beta \equiv \alpha$ modulo K° . This is generally not true if α is a double root of f modulo K° . The following consequence of Krasner's lemma is a sort of compensation.

Corollary 6. *Let K be a complete nonarchimedean field. Let $f \in K[T]$ be a monic separable polynomial. Then there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(f) > 0$ such that if we can find $\alpha \in K$ such that $|f(\alpha)| < \epsilon$, then f has a root in K .*

Proof. Let $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are the roots of f . Let r be the infimum of $|\alpha_i - \alpha_j|$. Fix a nonzero element ϖ in K° . Assume that $\epsilon < r^n |f|_1^{-1}$. Then $g(T) = f(T) - f(\alpha)$ is a polynomial with coefficients in K such that $|g - f|_1 < \epsilon$. Therefore, by Lemma 5, there is a root β of g such that

$$|\beta - \alpha| \leq r.$$

As all the Galois conjugates of α are roots of $f(T)$, we have $r \leq r(\alpha)$. By Krasner's Lemma, Lemma 2, we conclude that $\alpha \in K(\beta) = K$, as desired. \square

In particular, the lemma says that, if K is discretely valued, for each monic polynomial $f \in K^\circ[T]$, there exists N , if f has a root modulo the N th power of the maximal ideal, then f has a root in K° .

Corollary 7. *Let f be a monic, separable, irreducible polynomial of degree n . Then there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(f)$ such that for any g a monic polynomial of degree n with $|g - f|_1 < \epsilon$, we have*

- (1) g is irreducible and separable,
- (2) for each root α of f , g has a root β such that $K(\beta) = K(\alpha)$.

Proof. Set $\epsilon = (|f|_1^{-1}r(\alpha))^n$. Since f is irreducible and separable, its roots consists of all the K -conjugates of α . If $|f - g|_1 < \epsilon$, then there is a root β of g such that

$$|\beta - \alpha| < r(\alpha)$$

and Krasner's lemma, Lemma 2, then implies that $K(\alpha) \subset K(\beta)$. By the hypothesis, $[K(\alpha) : K] = n$; since $\deg g = n$, we have $[K(\beta) : K] \leq n$. The inclusion $K(\alpha) \subset K(\beta)$ then implies that g is irreducible and $K(\beta) = K(\alpha)$, which in turn implies that β , hence g , is separable. \square

Corollary 8. *Let C be an algebraically closed nonarchimedean field. Let \widehat{C} be the completion of C . Then \widehat{C} is algebraically closed.*

Proof. Let $f(T)$ be a monic separable irreducible polynomial with coefficients in \widehat{C} . We shall prove that $f(T)$ has a root. Let ϵ be the constant in Corollary 7. Using the density of C inside \widehat{C} we can find a monic polynomial $g(T)$ with coefficients in C such that $|g - f|_1 < \epsilon$. Then g is irreducible and separable. As C is algebraically closed, g has degree 1, and thus f must have degree 1. Now that any irreducible polynomial with coefficients in \widehat{C} must be of the form $f(T^q)$ where q is some power of p , the characteristic of C , and f is a separable irreducible polynomial. Hence all purely inseparable irreducible polynomial are $T^q - a$, $a \in \widehat{C}$. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence in C that converges to $a \in \widehat{C}$. Then there is a subsequence of $a_n^{1/q}$ that converge to a root of the equation $T^q - a = 0$. Hence the equation $T^q - a = 0$ is also solvable in \widehat{C} . This completes the proof that \widehat{C} is algebraically closed. \square

Here we mention yet another application of the Krasner's lemma, found in a paper by de Jong–Starr [1, Lemma 1.4(b)] that proves any rationally connected variety over the function field of a curve over an algebraically closed field has a rational point.

Lemma 9. *Let k be an algebraically closed field. Let A be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k . Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of A . Let $\mu : A \rightarrow R$ be a finite, generically étale homomorphism of complete discrete valuation rings. Then there exists a positive integer N such that the following property holds: For each finite local A -algebra B that is a discrete valuation ring, if there exists a an isomorphism $R/\mathfrak{m}^n R \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{m}^n B$ for some $n > N$, then R is isomorphic to B as an A -algebra.*

Proof. Since A has algebraically closed residue field, every finite local A -algebra has residue field k . Thereby the algebras B and R are all totally ramified, and we can write

$R = A[T]/(f(T))$, $B = A[T]/(g(T))$ for some Eisenstein polynomials $f, g \in A[T]$. See [2, Chapter I, Proposition 18].

Let K be the fraction field of A . Then K is a complete discretely valued field. By the hypothesis, f is a separable irreducible element in $K[T]$. The for n sufficiently large, the isomorphism modulo \mathfrak{m}^n implies that we can arrange that $|f - g| < \epsilon$ with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -adic metric, where ϵ is as in Corollary 7. By the hypothesis, g has a root β in $L = \text{Frac}(B)$. Corollary 7 implies there is a root α of f in K^{sep} satisfying $K(\alpha) = K(\beta) = L$. Thus f has a root in L . Since f has integral coefficients, it is necessary that $\alpha \in B$, by Lemma 3. We can then define a map $R \rightarrow B$ that is generically étale and has degree 1. Thus R is isomorphic to B , as desired. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Aise Johan de Jong and Jason Michael Starr, *Every rationally connected variety over the function field of a curve has a rational point*, Amer. J. Math. Vol. 125, no. 3, pp. 567–580, 2003.
- [2] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Local fields*, vol. 67, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979, pp. viii+241.